# Developing Prolog Coding Standards

# Michael A. Covington Institute for Artificial Intelligence



Copyright 2011 Michael A. Covington and/or The University of Georgia

Reuse or redistribution without the author's permission is prohibited by law.

#### Based on a paper...

# **Coding Guidelines for Prolog**

Michael A. Covington Roberto Bagnara Richard A. O'Keefe Jan Wielemaker Simon Price

forthcoming in Theory and Practice of Logic Programming

#### Based on a paper...

5 authors >5 opinions!

> My goal is to get you thinking, not dictate practices to you.

## Developing Prolog coding standards

**Motivation** Layout Naming conventions **Documentation Peculiarities of Prolog Debugging and testing** Conclusions

# Developing Prolog coding standards

### Motivation

Layout Naming conventions Documentation Peculiarities of Prolog Debugging and testing Conclusions



Everybody knows this now, but it was once news:

Programming languages are for human beings, not for computers.

The computer would be content with 0100011110001110001111000111...

**Good coding standards** 

- Make the program easier to read (and debug)
- Eliminate meaningless variation (so that all changes in layout are significant)
- Make different programmers' contributions look alike (for coherence of a large project)

#### 1974...

#### THE ELEMENTS OF PROGRAMMING STYLE

Kernighan and Plauger

Kernighan and Plauger's key insight:

Writing programs for a human audience not only makes them easier to read, it makes them more reliable and even makes them run faster!

(Style → thinking about what you're writing.)

# Your audience might even be yourself 2 minutes or 2 decades later.



# So how do we apply all of this to Prolog?

## Developing Prolog coding standards

**Motivation** Layout Naming conventions Documentation **Peculiarities of Prolog Debugging and testing** Conclusions

The most important thing about program layout is to care about it and find some way to be neat and consistent.



Semantic principle well known to the printing industry:

Readers will expect every change in layout to mean something.

If it doesn't, you're asking them to process noise.

Nobody can look at this without wondering why 2 subgoals are indented further. If there's no reason, you've annoyed them.

# Indent consistently, preferably by 4 spaces. Put each subgoal on a separate line.

ord\_union\_all(N, Sets0, Union, Sets) : A is N / 2,
 Z is N - A,
 ord\_union\_all(A, Sets0, X, Sets1),
 ord\_union\_all(Z, Sets1, Y, Sets),
 ord\_union(X, Y, Union).

#### **Consider using a proportional-pitch font.** More readable, and less like an 80-column punched card!

ord\_union\_all(N, Sets0, Union, Sets) :-A is N / 2, Z is N – A, ord\_union\_all(A, Sets0, X, Sets1), ord\_union\_all(Z, Sets1, Y, Sets), ord\_union(X, Y, Union). Make your editor store the indentations as spaces, not tabs, so they won't change when the file is opened with another editor.

# Limit the lengths of lines (the printing industry says 55 characters; computer industry standard of 80 is definitely too big).



Limit the number of lines per predicate (break up complex ones as needed).

Use more vertical space between different predicates than between clauses of the same predicate.

# Arrange comments for readability. Don't write lists as continuous prose.

% This predicate classifies C as whitespace (ASCII < 33), % alphabetic (a-z, A-Z), numeric (0-9), or symbolic (all % other characters).

% This predicate classifies C as:

- % whitespace (ASCII < 33);</pre>
- % alphabetic (a-z, A-Z);
- % numeric (0-9); or
- % symbolic (all other characters).

# Space after commas that separate goals or arguments, but not list elements.

```
pred([A,B], [D,E]) :-
  goal(A, D),
  goal(B, E).
```

The comma has 3 uses in Prolog, and any help disambiguating it is welcome.



# Make semicolons and if-then-elses very prominent through indentation.

```
pred(A) :- One of several ways to do it
  (test1(A) ->
    goal1(A)
    ;
    goal2(A)
  ).
```

Most of us have been trained to overlook semicolons!

# Consider using a prettyprinter for hardcopy.

Consider <u>implementing</u> a good prettyprinter for us, if you're so inclined!

Or at least fix up my old PLTeX...

#### Prettyprinter: LaTeX "listings" package

```
%% sum_list(+Number_List, ?Result)
% Unifies Result with the sum the numbers in Number_List;
% calls error/1 if Number_List is not a list of numbers.
sum_list(Number_List, Result) :-
    sum_list (Number_List, 0, Result).
%
   sum_list(+Number_List, +Accumulator, ?Result)
sum_list ([], A, A). % At end: unify with accumulator.
sum_list ([H|T], A, R) :- % Accumulate first and recur.
 number(H),
  !,
 B is A + H.
  sum_list(Rest, B, R).
sum_list(_, _A, _R) :- % Catch ill-formed arguments.
  error ('first_arg_to_sum_list/2_not_a_list_of_numbers').
```

# Prettyprinter: Covington's PLTeX

```
%% sum_list(+Numbers_List, ?Result)
```

- % Unifies Result with the sum the numbers in Numbers\_List;
- % calls error/1 if Numbers\_List is not a list of numbers.

```
sum\_list(Numbers\_List, Result) \leftarrow \\ sum\_list(Numbers\_List, 0, Result).
```

```
% sum_list(+Numbers_List, +Accumulator, ?Result)
```

```
\begin{aligned} & \text{sum\_list}([], A, A). & \% \text{ At end: unify with accumulator.} \\ & \text{sum\_list}([H|T], A, R) \leftarrow & \% \text{ Accumulate first and recur.} \\ & \text{number}(H), \\ & !, \\ & B \text{ is } A + H, \\ & \text{sum\_list}(Rest, B, R). \\ & \text{sum\_list}(\_, \_A, \_R) \leftarrow & \% \text{ Catch ill-formed arguments.} \\ & \text{error}('first_{\sqcup} arg_{\sqcup} to_{\sqcup} sum\_list/2_{\sqcup} not_{\sqcup} a_{\sqcup} list_{\sqcup} of_{\sqcup} numbers'). \end{aligned}
```

## Developing Prolog coding standards

**Motivation** Layout Naming conventions Documentation **Peculiarities of Prolog Debugging and testing** Conclusions

Don't worry, the rest of the sections of this talk are going to be a bit shorter!

Capitalization practices are imposed on us by Prolog syntax.

Variables begin with capital letters. Atoms and predicate names begin with lowercase letters.

(I know there are ways to get around this...)

# Use underscores to separate words in names.

Like\_This

like\_this

### Make names meaningful.

To convey "sort the list and count its elements" name your predicate sort and count, not stlacie.

To avoid typing long names, use a short name temporarily, then search-and-replace.

# Make all names pronounceable. xkcd is a good name for a comic strip – not for a Prolog predicate.

Don't use multiple names likely to be pronounced alike. People remember pronunciations, not spellings.

Worst I've ever seen: MENU2, MENUTWO, MENUTOO.

Within names, don't express numbers as words.

pred1, pred2 *not* pred\_one, pred\_two

This is a tactic to make spellings predictable from pronunciations.

### Don't use digits for words.

list\_to\_tree not list2tree

unless you're going for a high-school L33TSP33K look! Mark auxiliary predicates with suffixed \_aux, \_loop, \_case, \_1, \_2 or the like.

foo(...) :- ..., foo\_aux(...), ...

foo\_aux(...) :- ...

If a predicate tests a property or relation, give it a name that is *not* a command to do something.

sorted\_list, parent
well\_formed, ascending
between\_limits
contains\_duplicates, has\_sublists

If a predicate is best understood as an action, give it a name that *is* a command to do something.

```
remove_duplicates
print_contents
sort_and_classify
```

Choose predicate names to help show the argument order.

parent\_child(X,Y)
rather than
parent(X,Y)
(which is the parent of which?)

#### Naming conventions

## Use descriptive variable names Input\_List, Tree, Result, Count

**Decide how to use single-character names** For very localized use:

C could be a single character
I, J, K, M, N could be integers
L could be a list

Establish practices for naming threaded state variables (intermediate results).

foo(State0, State) : foo\_step(State0, State1),
 foo(State1, State).

No digit after the *final* name.

## Singular and plural are often handy for the first and rest of a list.

[Tree | Trees] or even [T | Trees]

Avoid [First|Rest], [Head|Tail], [H|T] unless you really can't say what is in the list.

#### Developing Prolog coding standards

**Motivation** Layout Naming conventions Documentation **Peculiarities of Prolog Debugging and testing** Conclusions

#### Documentation

## Begin every predicate (except auxiliary predicates) with a descriptive comment.

%% remove\_duplicates(+List, -Processed\_List) is det
%

% Removes the duplicates in List, giving Processed\_List. % Elements are considered to match if they can % be unified with each other; thus, a partly uninstantiated % element may become further instantiated during testing. % If several elements match, the last of them is preserved.

If you can't do this, you're not ready to code the predicate.

# Use meaningful names and mode specifiers on arguments in the comment.

%% remove\_duplicates(+List, -Processed\_List)

#### Simplest system:

- + expected to be instantiated upon entry
- expected not to be instantiated upon entry
- ? may or may not be instantiated upon entry

#### Documentation

#### You can also specify determinism...

```
%% remove_duplicates(+List, -Processed_List) is det
```

det	Succeeds exactly once and leaves no backtrack points.
semidet	Either fails or is det.
nondet	May either fail or succeed any number of times, may leave backtrack points.
multi	Like nondet but must succeed at least once

e.

#### Documentation

## Auxiliary predicates do not need full descriptive comments.

That's why they're auxiliary – they're not called from elsewhere.

But always leave enough comments to make it clear what you're doing.

#### Developing Prolog coding standards

**Motivation** Layout Naming conventions Documentation **Peculiarities of Prolog Debugging and testing** Conclusions

Predicates should be steadfast.

That is, behavior should not change if the output argument is already instantiated to the right value.

- ?-foo(X), X = something.
- ?- foo(something).

Put arguments in this order: inputs, intermediate values, outputs.

Confusing in C:

fprintf(stream, format, args)
fgets(string, number, stream)

Let's not repeat that folly.

Put the most discriminating argument first.

That is, put first the one that is most likely already to be instantiated to a value that enables you to choose clauses.

That's first-argument indexing!

Never add a cut to correct an unknown problem.

Instead, make your program logic correct without the cut, and *then* trim away unneeded searches.

Beginners often misunderstand the cut.

#### Work at the beginning of the list.

You can get to the first element immediately; to get to the last element you must traverse all the others.

Sometimes this means it's better to build a list backward.

#### **Remember this idiom:**

process\_list([Old|Olds], [New|News]) : do\_something\_to\_element(Old, New),
 process\_list(Olds, News).

process\_list([], []).

This traverses the lists forward and is tail recursive. It is efficient.

Avoid append, assert, retract when speed is important.

These are slower than most Prolog actions. You may use them in prototyping and then modify the algorithm to speed it up when needed.

#### Prefer tail recursion, but don't be fanatical.

A recursive call is tail recursive if it is the last step of a predicate and there are no backtrack points left behind. This saves memory – it is compiled as iteration.

Think about this if a predicate must recurse 1000 times – don't worry if it's just 10.

#### Developing Prolog coding standards

**Motivation** Layout Naming conventions Documentation **Peculiarities of Prolog Debugging and testing** Conclusions

The best code is the one that does the right computation, not the one with the most tricks.

Don't be clever. Read the source code of UNIX and you will marvel at how un-clever it really is.

#### When efficiency is critical, make tests.

Perform "microbenchmarks" to find out which way of doing something is actually faster with your compiler.

Use built-in predicate: statistics.

Look out for constructs that are nearly always wrong.

- ! at end of last clause of a predicate
- repeat not followed by !
- append with a one-element list as its first argument

Use the built-in debugger (spy, trace).

It's in all the textbooks, and it still works.

Use print (not write) to add tracing output to your program.

print is more user-configurable than write (e.g., can abbreviate long lists, or handle other data specially, or even keep quiet entirely under specified conditions).

Test that every loop or repetition:

- Starts correctly,
- Advances correctly from one step to the next,
- Ends correctly.

This applies to all programming languages.

Test every predicate by failing back into it.

Does it do something unexpected when you make it backtrack?

Don't just test: ?- my\_pred(my\_arg).
Test also: ?- my\_pred(my\_arg), fail.

Getting one solution is not enough!

In any error situation, either correct the problem or make the program crash (not just fail).

Prolog's "failure" means "The answer is no."

It does not mean "I can't do the computation."

Make error messages meaningful.

"Cannot sort a(b,c) because it is not a list"

not

"Illegal argument, wrong type"

Don't waste time checking for errors that would be caught anyway, such as arithmetic on non-numbers.

Prolog is an untyped language. Don't make the CPU spend all its time checking types on the remote chance that they might be wrong.

#### Developing Prolog coding standards

**Motivation** Layout Naming conventions **Documentation Peculiarities of Prolog Debugging and testing** Conclusions

#### Conclusions

### If you're ready to disagree with a good bit of what I said, I've done my job.

I've started you thinking.



#### There is no "one true style" for Prolog.

Coding standards are something you (singular or plural) need to develop on your own and even change when the need arises.

## But it is much better to have consistent habits that you can change than to have no consistent habits!

### Developing Prolog coding standards

